BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR **Single Bench**

Civil Contempt Petition No. 58 Of 2006.

PETITIONER :- Rohit Singh, son of Late Madhuwa Singh, aged about 55 years, resident of Hanuman Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

VERSUS

CONTEMNORS :-
1. B.L. Poya, Sub-Divisional Officer, Jonk Cannel, Sub-Division No. 2, Pavni, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (Chhattisgrah),
2. U.H. Khan, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Divisional Office, Kasdol, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
3. M.D. Gidwani, Chief Engineer, Mahanadi-Gomti Kachhar, Water Resources Department, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
4. N.S. Bhadauriya, Engineer-In-Chief, Water Resources Department, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
5. Vivek Dhand, Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 825/06 Presented by Shri ... dated ...

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (22)

मामला क्रमांक ....Cont. Pet. 58/06..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30.03.2006 Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Pankaj Agrawal, learned counsel for the petitioner. By way of order dated 25/7/2005, passed in WP No.1162/2003, this Court has directed that the concerned Secretary of the State Government shall take a decision on the case of the petitioner forwarded to it by the Superintending Engineer on 21-11-2002 (Annexure P-10) by considering the documentary evidence produced by the petitioner in form of his school leaving certificate and copy of admission register of the school. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after taking a decision in compliance with the order of this Court, the date of birth of the petitioner has been corrected and the petition has been reinstated. His further submission is that the consequential benefits have not been awarded to the petitioner. After going through the order and hearing the counsel, I do not find any act of non-compliance etc. There appears to be no contempt in such events as pleaded by learned counsel for the petitioner. The petition has no merits and the same is dismissed. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	